**CFA: Current Event Assignment Rubric** Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Pd\_\_\_\_\_\_ CE#\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*All paragraphs should be at least 5 sentences

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **EP (exceeds) 20 pts** | **MP (meets) 16-19 pts.** | **AP (approaching)**  **11.5-15 pts.** | **BP (below)**  **6-11 pts.** | **WBP (well below)**  **6 pts. and below** |
| **Citation**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_/ 2** | Citation is complete and written with the correct format. **(2 pts.)** | Citation is complete and written with the correct format**. (2 pts.)** | Citation is missing 1 item of information OR has 1 formatting error. **(1.5pts.)** | Citation is missing 2 or more items. And or is written incorrectly **(1 pt.)** | Does not have a citation  **(0 pts.)** |
| **Summary of Article**  Paragraph 1  (In your own words- who, what, when, where, why, how):  **\_\_\_\_\_\_/4** | Has all the necessary information; accurate and written clearly.  **(4 pts.)** | Has most of the necessary information. Accurate and understandable.  **(3 pts.)** | Some of the necessary information is missing. Most of the information is accurate and makes sense.  **(2 pts.)** | Missing key information. Confusing and/or does not correctly describe what happened. OR Written with own words and language copied from the article without use of quotation marks or other citation  **(1 pts.)** | No summary or summary is copied exactly or almost exactly from the article, without proper use of quotation marks or other citation to source.  **(0 pt**.) |
| **Significance**  Paragraph 2  (Why is the article significant? Why is it news? Why is it important we know about this):  **\_\_\_\_\_\_/6** | Clearly explains why the article is significant. Explanation is thoughtful and detailed.  **(6-5 pts.)** | Explanation of significance is clear and shows some effort and thought, but needs more elaboration.  **(4 pts.)** | Explanation shows effort and thought, but is not written clearly or is based on misreading of article **(3 pts)**  OR  Explanation is superficial and shows little thought, OR States facts from the article but does not explain significance.  **(2 pts.)** | Explanation is confusing or doesn’t make sense, or makes sense, but has nothing to do with the news article.  **(1 pt)** | No explanation of why the article is significant  **(0 pts.)** |
| **Opinion**  Paragraph 3  (What are your thoughts on this article? Use at least 5 sentences to support your opinion.):  **\_\_\_\_\_\_/4** | Contains a clear thoughtful opinion and an explanation of your opinion **(4 pts.)** | Contains a clear opinion and explanation of your opinion, but paragraph is shorter than 5 sentences.  **(3 pts.)** | Contains an opinion but it is superficial and shows little thought, OR opinion shows effort and thought, but is not written clearly. OR opinion is based on misreading of article. **(2 pts.)** | Contains an opinion but it doesn’t make sense in relation to the article. (1 **pt.)** | No opinion included  **(0 pts.)** |
| **Writing Mechanics**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_/4** | All sentences are complete.  No grammar or spelling errors. **(4 pts.)** | All sentences are complete.  1-2 grammar or spelling errors. **(3 pts.)** | Almost all sentences are complete.  3 grammar or spelling errors. **(2 pts.)** | Some sentences are complete.  4-5 grammar or spelling errors.  **(1 pts.)** | Many incomplete sentences.  Many (more than 5) grammar and spelling errors.  **(0 pts.)** |
| **Total Points**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_/20** |

Citation format:

Hard copy newspaper articles: Author’s last name, first name (if given). “Title of Article.” *Name of Newspaper* Date of Publication, Newspaper Edition(if given): Page number(s). Print.

Online newspapers: Author’s last name, first name (if given). “Title of Article.” *Title of overall website (e.g., cnn.com.)* Version or Edition. Publisher or Sponsor of website, Date of Publication. Web. Date of Access. <URL network address>.